

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/911,228	KASPER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terrence R. Till	1744	

All Participants:

(1) Terrence R. Till.

(2) J. McGarry.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103

Claims discussed:

27

Prior art documents discussed:

Trautloff et al. in view of Gurstein et al.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 1/10/05 the examiner called applicant's representative to inform him that claim 27 is believed to be unpatentable over Trautloff et al. in view of Gurstein et al. On 1/12/05, applicant's representative called the examiner and stated that claim 27 would be cancelled and that some of the limitations found in claim 27 would be embodied in new dependent claim 32 which depends on claim 31 .